Development of a method for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets
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Aim. To develop and validate a spectrophotometric technique for quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets by reaction with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone.

Materials and methods. In the study, the substance glibenclamide of pharmacopoeial purity was used, tablets – “Maninil” 5 mg and “Glibenclamid-Zdorovye” 5 mg, 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone of the “CFA” qualification was chosen as a reagent, as a solvent – dimethylformamide (DMF) “CFA”.

The following analytical equipment was used for the research: spectrophotometer “Specord-200” (Analytic Jena AG, Germany), water bath “MEMMERT WNB7”, laboratory electronic scales RADWAG XA 210. 4Y, measuring laboratory vessels of class A.

Results. A new, simple spectrophotometric method of quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets by reaction with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone in DMF medium was developed. The absorption maximum was at 489–491 nm. The value of the detection limit is 10.9 μg/ml, which indicates sufficient sensitivity of the reaction.

Subordination to the basic law of light absorption is within the limits of concentrations of 13.7–27.4 mg/100 ml. In the process of developing the methodology, the following validation characteristics were determined: specificity, linearity, precision, correctness, and robustness.

Conclusions. The methodology for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide was developed and validated according to the requirements of the State Pharmacopoeia of Ukraine. It has been proven that the method is simple, accessible, and validated for such characteristics as linearity, convergence and robustness and can be used for application in laboratories for quality control of medicinal products.
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The problem of type 2 diabetes mellitus is getting bigger every year. According to WHO statistics, the number of diseases will reach the seventh cause of death in the world by 2030 [1]. With this in mind, the pharmaceutical market of hypoglycemic drugs is expanding. Therefore, the search for new, express, selective, and sensitive methods for the quantitative determination of hypoglycemic medications (M) plays an important role at all stages of quality control of finished pharmaceuticals (FP).

For the quantitative determination of the glibenclamide substance, SPU offers alkalimetric titration. 0.400 g of the substance is dissolved when heated in 1.00 ml of 96% alcohol and titrated with a 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution to a pink color, using 1.0 ml of phenolphthalein solution as an indicator [2].

Instrumental methods of analysis are most often used to determine glibenclamide as part of medications. Among them, chromatographic and spectrophotometric in the UV and visible range of the spectrum are mainly found. Most often, glibenclamide in tablets and biological fluids is determined by TLC with densitometry [3], high-performance thin-layer chromatography [4,5], liquid chromatography [6], reversed-phase liquid chromatography [7], tandem method of liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry [8], HPLC [9], OF-HPLC [10,11], combined methods of HPLC with spectrophotometry [12,13], spectrophotometric methods [14–16] and UV-visible spectroscopy [17].

A spectrophotometric method for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide by reacting it with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-disano-1,4-benzochinin in a buffer solution at pH 2.0 has been previously reported [15]. However, the method requires heating the reaction mixture at 40 °C for 35 minutes, which limits its efficiency. The authors managed to obtain quite high response sensitivity results, but the long heating of the reaction mixture makes this technique long-lasting.

Therefore, development of express, direct, selective, and sensitive spectrophotometric method of quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets, certainly, is a promising direction of improvement of quality control of pharmaceutical preparations.

Aim
To develop and validate a spectrophotometric technique for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets by reaction with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone.

Materials and methods
All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical or pharmaceutical grade.
Fig. 2 and 3 show that the maximum absorption is observed after 25 minutes of heating. Therefore, a heating time of 25 min was chosen for further tests. The detection limit under such conditions is 10.9 μg/ml.

The concentration of the reagent was determined experimentally by the maximum yield of the reaction product, that is, by the maximum optical density (Fig. 4). As can be seen from Fig. 3, the maximum value of the optical density is observed at a reagent concentration of 0.5 % in a volume of 1.00 ml, so this concentration was chosen for further work.

The stability of the studied solutions was determined by measuring the optical density every 15 min for 1 h. It was established that the studied solutions are stable for at least 1 hour (Fig. 5).

---

Fig. 1. Absorption spectra of the reaction products of glibenclamide with: 1) 2,5-dichloro-1,4-benzoquinone, 2) p-chloroanil, 3) 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone in DMF.

Fig. 2. Dependence of absorption of glibenclamide reaction products with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone on the heating temperature of the reaction mixture.

Fig. 3. Dependence of reaction product absorption on heating time.

Fig. 4. Dependence of the absorption of the reaction product on the amount of added reagent.

Fig. 5. Graph of the dependence of the reaction product of glibenclamide with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone in DMF medium on time.

Fig. 6. Saturation curves: 1 – glibenclamide at a constant reagent concentration (1.00 ml of 0.005 M solution); 2 – 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone at a constant concentration of glibenclamide (1.00 ml of 0.005 M solution).
To determine the stoichiometric coefficients between glibenclamide and 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone, the method of continuous changes (method of isomolar series) and the method of molar ratios (method of saturation) were used. As can be seen from Fig. 6 and 7, glibenclamide interacts with the reagent in a ratio of 1:1.

**Linearity.** To determine the linearity, 9 absorption measurements of the comparison solution of glibenclamide were carried out in the range of concentrations in which subordination to Beer’s law is observed, namely 13.7–27.4 mg/100 ml. The graph of the dependence of absorption on the concentration of glibenclamide in normalized coordinates is shown in Fig. 8.

The linearity of the proposed technique was evaluated using least squares regression analysis. The obtained values are given in the Table 1.

**Precision.** The precision of the proposed method for each dosage form was determined at the level of convergence. 9 parallel measurements were carried out. Three solutions were prepared from three measurements, each with three parallel measurements under optimal conditions. In parallel, the absorbance of the comparison solution was determined and the content of the substance under study was calculated. The obtained data are shown in the Table 2.

**Correctness.** To establish the correctness of the proposed methodology, the additive method was used. Different volumes of RSZ were added to three equal samples of the medicinal substance and the optical density was determined three times. As can be seen in Table 3, the results of the determinations are correct, since the obtained results are included in the established confidence interval.

**Complete uncertainty of the analytical technique**

In order to confirm that the developed method will be correctly replicated in other laboratories, the total uncertainty of the reported method results has been calculated. According to the SPU, the total expected uncertainty in the technique is not expected to exceed the maximum allowable value of $\Delta_{Ax}$.

---

**Table 1. Numerical indicators of the linear dependence of the technique**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equation of linear regression</td>
<td>$Y_i = bX_i + a$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient, $r$</td>
<td>0.99961</td>
<td>$\geq 0.9631$</td>
<td>meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual standard deviation, $S_{x,i}$</td>
<td>0.7032</td>
<td>$\leq \Delta_{As}(%) \cdot t (95 %, 6)$</td>
<td>meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept term, $a \pm (S_a)$</td>
<td>0.608 ± (1.160)</td>
<td>$\leq t (95%, 6) \cdot S_a$</td>
<td>meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope, $b \pm (S_b)$</td>
<td>0.994 ± (0.0113)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the stoichiometric coefficients between glibenclamide and 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone, the method of continuous changes (method of isomolar series) and the method of molar ratios (method of saturation) were used. As can be seen from Fig. 6 and 7, glibenclamide interacts with the reagent in a ratio of 1:1.

**Table 2. Determination of the convergence of the results of the quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage form</th>
<th>$\bar{Z}$ (n = 9)</th>
<th>$S_z$%</th>
<th>$\Delta As$</th>
<th>$%$</th>
<th>$\delta \leq \Delta% / 3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Maninil&quot; 5 mg</td>
<td>100.44</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.44 ≤ 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Glibenclamid-Zdorovye&quot; 5 mg</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.24 ≤ 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Determination of the correctness of the results of the quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets**

| Dosage form              | $\bar{Z}$ (n = 9) | $S_z$% | $\%$ | $|100-\bar{Z}| \sigma \leq \Delta% / 3$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|------|------------------------------------------|
| "Maninil" 5 mg           | 100.23            | 0.57   | 1.06 | 0.23                                     | 0.23 ≤ 0.35                 |
| "Glibenclamid-Zdorovye" 5 mg | 99.79            | 0.69   | 1.29 | 0.21                                     | 0.21 ≤ 0.43                 |
The total uncertainty prediction was computed based on the formula (1):

$$\Delta_{sp} = \sqrt{\Delta_{sp}^2 + \Delta_{FAO}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta_{sp}$ is the uncertainty of sample preparation; $\Delta_{FAO}$ is the predicted uncertainty of the final analytical operation.

It was taken into account that two solutions are used for the measurement procedure (comparison solution and test solution). When carrying out three parallel measurements with the cuvette removal, the uncertainty value of the final analysis operation ($\Delta_{sp}$) will be equal to 0.70 % [18].

The calculation of the total uncertainty of the method is given in the Table 4. The calculations took into account the minimum weight of the finished medication.

Therefore, the total predicted uncertainty of the analysis (1.53 %) does not exceed the maximum permissible uncertainty of the method (3.20 %), which may be replicated in other laboratories [18].

### Discussion

During the development of the method, optimal conditions were established for the reaction of glibenclamide with 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone, which involved heating for 25 minutes at a temperature of a boiling water bath in the DMF environment. Under these conditions, the methods for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide in tablets “Manini” 5 mg and “Glibenclamid-Zdorovye” 5 mg were developed, and validation characteristics such as linearity, precision, correctness, and sensitivity were determined. It has been demonstrated that the developed methods comply with the requirements of the SPU. The predicted total uncertainty of the technique suggests that it can be replicated in other laboratories.

Thus, after analyzing the literature on methods for analyzing glibenclamide in medical forms and biological objects, it can be asserted that the chromatographic methods presented are very accurate and selective [3–7], but they require expensive equipment, lengthy preparation, and costly consumables. Some of these methods require other detection techniques to improve accuracy [12,13].

For spectrophotometric methods in the visible and UV spectrum, there is a need to optimize the conditions for increasing selectivity, such as by using derivatization reagents or changing the pH of the medium [14–16].

Despite the high sensitivity and selectivity of the reported methods, their cost and complexity remain a challenge. Therefore, the development of new, economical, and accessible methods for determining glibenclamide is still necessary.

### Conclusions

1. As a result of the conducted research, a spectrophotometric method for the quantitative determination of glibenclamide was developed and validated.

2. The developed method is sensitive, easy to perform, available, and meets the requirements of the State Pharmacopoeia of Ukraine, so it can be recommended for the analysis of glibenclamide in the laboratories of the technical quality control departments of pharmaceuticals.

---

**Table 4. Calculation of the total uncertainty of the method of quantitative determination of glibenclamide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The operation of sample preparation</th>
<th>Calculation formula parameter</th>
<th>Uncertainty, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taking a measurement of the finished medicinal product</td>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>0.2 mg/994.4 mg × 100 % = 0.02 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing the volume up to the mark in a 25 ml volumetric flask</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking an aliquot of the dilution of the finished medicinal product with a 1 ml pipette</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing the volume up to the mark in a 10 ml volumetric flask</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison solution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking a dose of glibenclamide</td>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>0.2 mg/51.5 mg × 100 % = 0.39 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing the volume up to the mark in a 25 ml volumetric flask</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking a 1 ml aliquot of gliclazide dilution with a pipette</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing the volume up to the mark in a 10 ml volumetric flask</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta_{sp} = \sqrt{(0.02^2 + 0.23^2 + 0.74^2 + 0.50^2 + 0.39^2 + 0.23^2 + 0.74^2 + 0.50^2)} = 1.36 %$
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